1	
2	CITY OF LAWRENCE, KANSAS
3	
4	LAWRENCE FAIR HOUSING ORDINANCE
5	50th ANNIVERSARY ORAL HISTORY PROJECT
6	
7	
8	
9	
LO	
L1	Interview of Homer Floyd
L2	November 22, 2016
L3	
L 4	
L5	
L6	
L7	
18	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
25	

(10:55:14)

2.3

MR. ARNOLD: Today is November 22nd, 2016. I am historian Tom Arnold interviewing Mr. Homer Floyd at his home in Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, for the City of Lawrence Fair Housing Ordinance 50th Anniversary Oral History Project.

At the time the ordinance passed in July, 1967, Mr. Floyd was the director of the Kansas State Commission on Civil Rights.

To start off, how would you describe the City of Lawrence at the time you arrived there in the mid to late 1950s as a K.U. student athlete, and in particular what were your memories of the racial atmosphere in Lawrence at the time?

MR. FLOYD: Well, first of all let me say
that I was delighted to have the opportunity to
come to Lawrence to play football and get an
education at the University of Kansas and it has
certainly grounded me as it relates to my future
career and opportunities, but I think that some of
the experiences that we had of a racial nature
certainly helped to motivate me to want to see
opportunities available for all people as opposed
to just some.

When we came there my recollection is, first

of all, that there were certain restaurants we could not eat at as African-Americans. There were three theaters that I remember. We had to sit in the balcony in two of the theaters and the other theater didn't have a balcony so we had to start filling up the theater from the back rows forward. We had difficulty with housing, and certainly many of the students off-campus housing,

African-Americans, they had difficulty.

2.3

Some of my counterparts explained that they have had difficulties in the classroom with some teachers and professors. I don't think that I had that kind of experience. What I do remember is a couple of the professors would tease us, the football players, and basketball players as well, about getting a free ride and, you know, things like that, but my recollection of K.U. was very positive.

Certainly the experience we had as it relates to some of those incidents, though, we found out that the track players had some of those experiences, the basketball players, as well as the football players, and it is in that context that we decided to go to the chancellor and to express our indignation and our concerns, both in

the city as well as when we played TCU in 1957 in Fort Worth, Texas, after we had left Lawrence and we found that the African-American players were going to have to stay at a separate hotel, and that was troublesome. We had to make a decision as to whether to play or not and I know that at first I was not going to play but coach pulled me aside and talked with me and I finally decided to go ahead with it, but that was a major experience, I think, that we looked at.

But in the '50s there was just a lot of racial segregation and this was just after the Brown v. Board of Education and society was just getting used to the fact that segregation was illegal, but that's kind of what I remember about the period.

(11:00:00)

2.3

MR. ARNOLD: Okay. Great. How would you say that your impressions of Lawrence differed from the experiences you had where you grew up in Ohio? Was there a greater degree of segregation or were you surprised when you got to Lawrence in what you found there, particularly given that Lawrence kind of had this reputation to be the front -- center of the free state movement from the Civil War era?

MR. FLOYD: We were surprised. My

recollection, first of all, in Massilon, Ohio,

that was a steel mill town and a high percentage

of African-Americans and other minorities were

working in the steel mills and it was a good

living, and on Main Street, though, in the public

contact jobs there were very few, I believe, in

Massilon. I don't remember but when I was growing

up one or two persons in public contact jobs.

2.3

The community as a whole coalesced around football. I mean, in those days the Massilon Tigers were winning, regularly winning the state championships and Paul Brown, who ultimately owned the Cleveland Browns and later the Cincinnati team, he was the coach.

MR. ARNOLD: Wow.

MR. FLOYD: And he was the coach during the late '30s and early '40s and so he had already built up a strong tradition. When I graduated we won the state championship for the seventh consecutive year and two of those years that I was there we were national champs, so it was a town of about 35,000 and on the day of a football game stores closed for a period of time for the marches, the rallies that we had and so forth, so

it was really a great place to grow up, but at the 1 2 same time there were problems, but not nearly as 3 much as we saw out in Kansas at that time. (11:02:38) MR. ARNOLD: Okay. So you were clearly 5 surprised, then, when you arrived in Lawrence and 6 7 found --MR. FLOYD: Yes. 8 MR. ARNOLD: -- the conditions there and how 9 10 they differed? 11 MR. FLOYD: Yes. 12 (11:02:44)13 MR. ARNOLD: You have already briefly touched 14 on the meeting, and I think it was in 1957. Was 15 it just --16 MR. FLOYD: Yes. 17 MR. ARNOLD: -- at the beginning of the school year in 1957 that you remember when you met 18 19 with the chancellor? MR. FLOYD: I think it was after the Fort 20 21 Worth experience in which we had had that 22 experience, and earlier in the year the basketball 2.3 team had some experience as well, as I understand 24 it, so we all just got together and said let's -that was more focused on some of the experiences 25

1 that we have had but also we took on the whole 2 thing and the chancellor really, Chancellor 3 Franklin D. Murphy, really stepped up, in my judgment. He threatened to make the theaters off limits to students, that he would purchase or rent 5 6 the movies and show them on campus, and that 7 helped with the theater situation. MR. ARNOLD: Right. 8 MR. FLOYD: And then we had the issue of 9 10 restaurants and he began to speak out on that, and there were others behind him, I'm sure, that was 11 doing some of the negotiations in regard to the --12 13 I think, if I recall correctly, was it Phog 14 Allen's son? There was a couple of lawyers that were involved in it as well. I don't remember. 15 16 (11:04:34)17 MR. ARNOLD: Right. Phog Allen's son was the 18 city attorney at that time, --19 MR. FLOYD: Yes. 20 MR. ARNOLD: -- private attorney but also was 21 acting --22 MR. FLOYD: Right, right. 2.3 MR. ARNOLD: -- as the city attorney so he 24 was probably involved. 25 MR. FLOYD: Yes, yes. So, but at any rate,

things got better. Things got better, and we were 1 2 just appreciative of the forthright steps that the chancellor was willing to take, and as a matter of 3 fact, the following February he invited Thurgood Marshall to be the Brotherhood Day speaker. 5 That's a February event --6 7 MR. ARNOLD: Right. MR. FLOYD: -- in which, you know, he had 8 arqued the Brown v. Board of Education case. 9 10 MR. ARNOLD: Right. MR. FLOYD: And he invited him to be our 11 principal speaker, and I know, I even have 12 13 pictures of that, and it was so enlightening as 14 well as kind of verifying what we were saying, that we needed to go forward and that we needed to 15 16 take giant steps, and that was something I thought 17 was very positive that the chancellor did. 18 (11:05:53)19 MR. ARNOLD: Right. Good. And based on your observations at the time, as best you can recall, 20 21

MR. ARNOLD: Right. Good. And based on your observations at the time, as best you can recall, did the changes in attitudes or policies of some of the local business people, that not only applied to African-American student athletes but also just student body in general and even local residents, that you remember?

22

2.3

24

25

Yes, I think that more and more 1 MR. FLOYD: 2 African-American students were enrolling at the 3 university, so that in and of itself meant that downtown their presence was more -- it's, on campus I think that they were way ahead of, in my 5 judgment, at any rate, than the businesses 6 downtown, but at the same time you could see incremental progress taking place. At one point 8 they couldn't stay at the hotel there and that was 10 an issue, I know, for when some of the parents would come to town, yes. 11 12 (11:07:03) 13 MR. ARNOLD: But no real change that you 14 15

recall in that time frame in housing policies, it still was difficult for African-American students who were coming to town to find adequate places to live?

MR. FLOYD: If they did off campus, yes.

MR. ARNOLD: Right.

MR. FLOYD: And as a result many of them were able to stay in homes of other African-Americans who lived in the community. That was, housing was slow.

Employment with each other eight hours or more but, during the day, in the community you're

24

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

25

living next to each other and so forth, and there are all kinds of misconceptions, perceptions about what will happen to your neighborhood if blacks move in and, you know, things like that that you had to overcome.

(11:08:01)

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. ARNOLD: Right. Right. As we have done the research for this project and been interviewing people one of the things that really becomes apparent is not only, besides the influence that the university had in trying to bring about change, many kind of grassroots community groups were very involved, the churches, both African-American and white churches, kind of umbrella church organizations, the NAACP was very involved, there was in Lawrence an organization in the 1950s and early '60s which you probably weren't aware of called the League for the Promotion of Democracy and it had many not only local African-American members but also a lot of K.U. faculty who were, and I think the faculty played a key role in a lot of these organizations because of course you had people who were from diverse backgrounds coming into Lawrence and didn't necessarily like what they saw, but did you

have any, during that early time when you were at the university, any interaction with any of those types of groups, through maybe a church affiliation or were you aware of their efforts to try and bring about change as well?

MR. FLOYD: Well, there was student groups that we coalesced with on certain issues as they would occur. I was aware of some, or the NAACP, I was aware of some of the churches. Probably not as much involved in a couple of the organizations you just mentioned, yes.

(11:09:36)

2.3

MR. ARNOLD: Right.

MR. FLOYD: Yes.

MR. ARNOLD: Okay. In addition to the just general kind of conditions of segregation, and you obviously did mention some of the incidents that occurred away, but do you remember any particular incidents that occurred within Lawrence that were particularly influential in kind of prodding people to start pushing for change or was it just kind of general, the general conditions at the time that were --

MR. FLOYD: Well, I think that at that point in time people were just trying to get used to the

1 idea that there was a change at the Supreme Court level of what constituted discrimination, because 3 segregation was just the law of the land prior to that and so as incidents or situations would occur, you know, you problem solve around what is 5 it that has occurred and the like, and sometimes 6 we felt as though whatever the issue was we didn't have an entree into a receptive -- how can I say 8 this? We see situations that occur between let's 10 say two students, an African-American and a white. Well, the African-American does not feel that I 11 12 can run to the administration and get justice --13 MR. ARNOLD: Right. 14 MR. FLOYD: -- because of the social 15 distance. 16 MR. ARNOLD: Right. 17 MR. FLOYD: And that, I think, is what we 18 were really dealing with. It's the attitude but 19 also the social distance --20 MR. ARNOLD: Sure. MR. FLOYD: -- was such that an identical set 21 22 of circumstances can mean different things to 2.3 different people, depend upon your previous 24 experience and so forth, and sometimes we didn't

feel that we had the ear of the administration or

25

in, if it's, sometimes it could have been the 1 2 police issue involved. We didn't feel that we 3 could go to the administration or to the powers that be and get a fair treatment. 5 MR. ARNOLD: Right. MR. FLOYD: In some instance we'll end up 6 7 getting the charge, and at the time I think the society was still beginning to know how to deal 8 9 with the whole business of integration and equal 10 opportunity. 11 MR. ARNOLD: Right. MR. FLOYD: And, see, in those days they just 12 13 told you up front we don't rent to colored. I 14 want you to know that even after, even after 15 Kansas or after K.U. when I moved to Kansas City I 16 had been told that so many times until I started 17 to just over the phone in places that were open 18 for rent in the newspapers, I would say, "Do you 19 rent to colored?" Because that's the way it was 20 in those days. 21 (11:13:12) 22 MR. ARNOLD: Yes, the fact that you had to 2.3 ask that question is, you know, to people today

25 MR. FLOYD: And housing was much more

shocking.

24

difficult than some of the employment situations.

2 (11:13:23)

2.3

MR. ARNOLD: Right, right. Let's transition from kind of that background to what it was that then got you -- I mean, you obviously left K.U. with opportunities to pursue a sports career but chose instead to, you know, basically dedicate your life to civil rights work. What really motivated you? Was it some of those experiences at K.U. that kind of led you down that path, and how did you end up first I think working for the City of Topeka in a civil rights position, then ultimately becoming the director of the Kansas state commission?

MR. FLOYD: Well, immediately after college I had a year of professional football in Canada and then I came down to Kansas City, Missouri, in which I was married and had one child, and we had real difficulty finding housing there and that was really an eye opening experience, too, how segregated Missouri, Kansas City, Missouri, was at the time, but I worked for about a year with the Recreation Department there and I signed a contract with the Cleveland Browns and went up and went through their training camp and I got cut, so

I came back to Kansas City, and when I came back to Kansas City I was offered a job as an investigator for the State of Kansas with the Kansas Commission on Civil Rights and they had just passed a fair employment practices statute at that point in time, so with the experiences I have had that was kind of a motivating factor to want to see things change and be part of the change.

As you know, there were demonstrations and all of those and I saw an opportunity for me to do some good through the legal process and so therefore I took the job and worked there for two and a half years or so and took the position as executive secretary of the Topeka, Kansas, commission and was there for year and a half or more, two years maybe, and then I ended up going to Omaha as their director of their program and then coming back to Kansas in I guess it was 1966, I believe.

(11:60:03)

2.3

MR. ARNOLD: Okay. At about the time you came back Kansas was, and I think as early as '65 the Kansas Legislature had been considering a fair housing law. How were you involved in that effort to kind of push that through the legislature?

Which ultimately didn't happen until I think about 1970, but --

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. FLOYD: Right. Yes, there was a big movement during the year of '65, '66, '67 and we thought we had fashioned a bill that was acceptable to the legislators who were negotiating with it but unfortunately we got it past the House and I think it died in the Senate.

My recollection of it was that George Haley, Senator George Haley, helped us as part of the front of the movement, and we were -- much of the push for the legislation was coming through the -we had an advisory council. I remember Ruth Shechter was the chair of the advisory council, and it was a statewide group that was helping to mobilize and it grew larger and more influential and then finally we were able to get the passage of the statute in 19-, I guess it was 1967 -- no, 1970, January of 1970, January or February, during that year. But we had actually fashioned the legislation the session before, it's just that we just couldn't get it through at that point in time, yes.

(11:18:00)

MR. ARNOLD: Right. What do you recall about

the opposition? And obviously the real estate industry was one of the key opponents of putting that kind of a law into effect, and I have been told by some of my previous interviewees that their impression was that the Lawrence real estate industry was in particular one of the ones that were pushing hard against putting a law because they argued that they should be able to regulate themselves and this both infringed on their rights and the rights of property owners. What do you recall about who the opposition was and what the case, the arguments that they made against the law that proved at least influential in the first three or four years before you could finally get it passed?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. FLOYD: Well, I don't recall the specific individuals but certainly the real estate industry, both in Lawrence as well as statewide, was opposed to the fair housing statute and they constantly were, through their legislators that they worked with, were constantly putting up amendments to limit the authority, to limit the consequences of discrimination and so forth, and we had to fight against that, and my recollection in '66, '67, that's when a lot of the negotiation

was going on and we finally got something that was 1 2 acceptable and finally passed, you know, in 1970. MR. ARNOLD: Right. 3 MR. FLOYD: But certainly Lawrence was able to get theirs I guess in '68. 5 MR. ARNOLD: July of '67 they finally passed 6 7 theirs. MR. FLOYD: '67, yes, okay. 8 9 (11:20:02) 10 MR. ARNOLD: In '65, and this may have then been before your time back in Kansas, it may have 11 actually been while you were in Omaha, but Wichita 12 13 actually passed the first Fair Housing Ordinance. 14 Did you have any involvement in that or were you 15 in communication with people down there to talk to 16 them about how they managed to get it through to 17 help your efforts to try and push it through the 18 state legislature? 19 MR. FLOYD: That effort was going on at the same time when I was in Kansas. 20 21 MR. ARNOLD: Okay. 22 MR. FLOYD: For a long time that effort was 2.3 going on. I left, while I left the State, I was 24 still in Topeka with a local human rights commission and the state wide effort had an 25

influence on what they were doing in Wichita, and I was aware that Wichita, which is, you know, the largest city, were able to pass the statute, and that gave some support for other cities to take up the issue, and certainly Lawrence did and was successful.

(11:21:16)

1

2

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. ARNOLD: Do you recall who were kind of the key advocates within Lawrence or any particular people that you worked with at the time they were -- and sort of the timeline, just to refresh your memory or give you the background, based on our research, there had been discussions of it I think among local groups as early as '65, because they formed what they called a Fair Housing Coordinating Committee, which brought NAACP, church groups, various other citizen groups together under an umbrella to work towards that, and really sometime in '66 they decided that they wanted to push it up to the City Commission and actually right at the beginning of January of '67 they went to the Human Relations Commission in Lawrence, proposed it.

The Human Relations Commission had already been quietly working with them so they weren't

surprised that it was coming to them and then they drafted the ordinance and took it up to what proved to be a fairly receptive City Commission, which passed it in '67. But do you recall who you may have worked with or groups you may have worked with or how they may have coordinated with you at the state level in trying to bring this forward within Lawrence?

2.3

MR. FLOYD: Well, one of the things that we would do at the state level is to share with the local, other cities that have passed similar housing laws and so forth, ordinances, to give them some perspective of what they were to look like, as well as whether it would be suitable for their particular, and certainly we played that role, and I do know that there was substantial support from the city attorney's office and so forth, and I think that there was influence also from the K.U. leadership as well.

MR. ARNOLD: Right, and I was going to ask
you about that, in fact.

MR. FLOYD: Yes. There was considerable leadership there because of the fact that many of their students were complaining and having their own difficulties, so it was a wide segment of the

population that was socially conscious about the problems that really worked with each other, and we had the statewide advisory council that also played a role in supporting the local effort as well.

(11:23:54)

2.3

MR. ARNOLD: Right. And you are bringing up an important point when you mention that you all at the state level were trying to make local communities aware of laws that had put in place elsewhere, because Lawrence very much looked at a couple of the university cities in Iowa, Iowa City in particular, as a model because it sort of was a town with a similar demographic and so they very much modeled theirs on Iowa City's, as well as looking at Wichita as a model.

The university certainly played a role and they had already gone through, both at the time you were there and then afterwards there's some --

MR. FLOYD: Demonstrations.

MR. ARNOLD: -- demonstrations and later football players, including a gentleman named Gale Sayers, was involved in demonstrating against not just discrimination in university housing in particular, which I think they had already

addressed by that point, but one of the concerns was housing in the community still being segregated, opportunities not being offered to African-Americans, and the university yet would allow those landlords to advertise on campus and so there was a big push for the university to ban landlords who wouldn't rent to African-Americans from being able to advertise on campus and in fact they were successful with that, but when the ordinance came up for consideration by the City Commission both the vice chancellor wrote a letter saying, you know, we very much support this, it conforms with what is now university policy, and then also, interestingly, Ted Owens, the basketball coach, came forward and said, you know, when I go out and recruit athletes I tell their parents they're sending them to a town that they'd be proud to have their son play sports in and, you know, we need to make changes like this so that in fact Lawrence will live up to, you know, a reputation and be a place where people would want their children to come.

1

2

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

So do you -- I take it, then, you feel that the university, that influence was very important in probably changing attitudes?

MR. FLOYD: Absolutely, absolutely, and also
the fact that the professionalism that the
university had in their professors and
administrators was very important. Now, I know
that there were demonstrations on campus for some
of those issues as well and I remember there was
one group took over the chancellor's office, if I
recall correctly.

MR. ARNOLD: Right, right, yes.

MR. FLOYD: So yes, the progress didn't come without some kind of tension and some kind of pushback, but at the same time it was good that so many people were willing to get together, work together, in order to push the community forward, and I think this is a prime example of that.

(11:27:08)

2.3

MR. ARNOLD: Right. And in fact one of the individuals I interviewed for this project told me that frankly he didn't think Lawrence would have been one of the first towns in Kansas to pass such an ordinance if it hadn't been a university town and kind of the diversity of points of view, leading a lot of people to think this is wrong and we need to change it.

MR. FLOYD: Absolutely, yes.

1 (11:27:30)

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. ARNOLD: There was actually at the time the Human Relations Commission in Lawrence was working on drafting the Fair Housing Ordinance in early '67, in the minutes of one of their meetings, and I'll put you on the spot a little bit here to see how good your memory is because it was 50 years ago and you may not even remember this, but according to minutes in the March, 1967, Human Relations Commission it said that you had met with the Lawrence real estate board to discuss fair housing with them, and in fact Glenn Kappelman, who was a member of the Human Relations Commission and also a local realtor who supported fair housing, was quoted as saying that you, Homer Floyd, were well received and expected to be invited to appear before the board again in the future.

Do you remember meeting with the Lawrence real estate board specifically on the Fair Housing Ordinance and what their attitudes were when you met with them?

MR. FLOYD: I do remember one meeting and everything's a little fuzzy now in terms of some of the personalities.

1 MR. ARNOLD: Sure.

2.3

MR. FLOYD: It was helpful that I had played football and had had a name in the state, but -- so some would have, just on the matter of courtesy, would have welcomed me, but I do recall that there was some support in that group for, particularly when we talked about how it would function, how the ordinance would function, and the kind of, the steps that would be taken after a complaint would be filed and so forth.

I remember, you know, that kind of discussion and asking for their support. Now, I have no recollection of any vote or anything like that was taken.

MR. ARNOLD: Right, right.

MR. FLOYD: But in that context I was well received, yes.

(11:29:39)

MR. ARNOLD: Another interesting observation that one of the people I interviewed made was, in talking about the attitude of the realtors, that some of the realtors they believed quietly welcomed this because it gave them -- they really wanted to bring about change, they felt that change was right, but they felt like they needed

something, a framework that would allow them to do
it without necessarily it hurting their customer
base, whereas other realtors, whether through
prejudice, just innate prejudice, or the fact that
they were so concerned about the impact that it
might have on their business continued to be
opposed to it, but did you have that same
impression, that there were some who favored fair
housing but were reluctant to speak out because
they were afraid how it might hurt their business
but kind of quietly hoped that it would come to
fruition?

2.3

MR. FLOYD: Absolutely. There always was a discussion if I do this so and so is going to use it against me as it relates to whatever products, you know, I'm selling or whatever, that it's going to adversely affect my business, and of course our position was simply that if you pass the ordinance everybody will be under the same requirements and the same process so therefore it is going to be good for you. Said, "Yes, but I can't come out and say that," and so there were that undercurrent, in two ways, undercurrent to say please do it, but there were others who was less enthusiastic about it, yes.

(11:31:31)

1

25

2	MR. ARNOLD: Yes. And you wonder if that
3	same problem was even more pervasive just than in
4	the business world, because one of the interesting
5	things is, again, and much of the local Fair
6	Housing Coordinating Committee was very active not
7	only in pushing the issue up to the Human
8	Relations Commission but also kind of doing a
9	separate sort of public relations campaign in
10	favor of it. They had articles published in the
11	local paper in favor of fair housing and then they
12	also did a signature campaign and well over a
13	thousand people in Lawrence, and the City actually
14	sat down and mapped out the addresses of all these
15	people and found it was widespread all over the
16	city, not just, you know, in particular
17	neighborhoods, but there seemed to be pretty
18	broad-based support, but it does make you wonder
19	with that level of support were there a lot of
20	people who were just quietly in favor but
21	reluctant to speak out because they weren't sure
22	what their neighbors would think or whatever. Did
23	you find that not only in Lawrence but kind of
24	just generally in your civil rights work?

 $\mathbf{MR.\ FLOYD:}\ \ \mathsf{Tom,}\ \mathsf{that}\ \mathsf{is}\ \mathsf{a}\ \mathsf{major}\ \mathsf{problem}\ \mathsf{even}$

1 today.

2.3

2 MR. ARNOLD: Right.

MR. FLOYD: Sometimes we use words and phrases to stop our enemy or to block things through scare tactics and so forth and it is -- we are acculturated in such a way that the experiences of whites growing up in their neighborhood and their particular area, they are acculturated along racial lines, as African-Americans are.

We have our own situations that we have to be concerned about, and nobody wants to get out there and stand up and be the first to say this is not right, we're going to stop this, and so forth, because they don't want to be called names, those dirty names that you get called when you're a traitor, and so a lot of people would want to go along with it but they don't want to be out front leading it because of the consequences that they feel they are going to have, and that is on all groups, it's not just whites and blacks.

MR. ARNOLD: Sure.

MR. FLOYD: I mean, that's just the way it is, and getting people to speak up and be comfortable doing so is sometimes difficult.

(11:34:15)

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. ARNOLD: Right. Yes, and again, many of the people I have interviewed felt like that one of the reasons it did pass fairly easily in Lawrence is that there was pretty broad-based support even if it wasn't necessarily apparent on the surface, but once you put it forward very few people, in fact during the actual hearings many, many people from all different backgrounds came up and spoke out in favor of the fair housing ordinance and the only group that showed up was one realtor and the lawyer who represented the Board of Realtors were the only two who spoke out against it and there seemed to be very little, once it passed, consternation within the community at all about that this major step had been taken.

Did you have a sense or did you observe in your position at the state level that once the ordinance was put in place in Wichita, Lawrence, and it may have been done in other communities than Lawrence after that, that noticeable change came about, or was change often more slow in coming and enforcement required to make sure that change actually started to happen?

MR. FLOYD: Well, certainly change is slow

and in housing, since you've got to have, you've 1 2 got to qualify for loans and that kind of thing the purchase of housing certainly was a slow 3 process in that change. Rentals was a little, nothing was easy, but a little easier because, you 5 know, first you rent before you buy generally. 6 7 MR. ARNOLD: Right. MR. FLOYD: And so there were more people who 8 were willing to take advantage of opportunities on 9 10 a rental basis, but even at that it was slow, and I think social change in certain areas doesn't 11 12 happen overnight. 13 MR. ARNOLD: Right. 14 MR. FLOYD: It's a gradual evolutionary 15 process, and I think that's what we've seen, yes. 16 (11:36:26) 17 MR. ARNOLD: Let me just take a look at my 18 questions here and see what I may have missed that 19 I want to make sure that I ask you about. Do you remember any, and I had mentioned 20 21 earlier, for example, Jesse Milan, but do you 22 remember any, do you have any observations of his 2.3 work and do you remember any other particular 24 individuals in Lawrence who you recall from that

time frame who were particularly active and

25

influential in helping to bring about change?

2.3

MR. FLOYD: Jesse, Jesse Milan I knew very well. We were close friends. He was so valuable to that community. When I got there he and Alversa were the first African-Americans I met from the community and he was pushing his own, because he was I think the first teacher, African-American teacher in the system as well, so he had his own issues that he dealt with, but he was always willing to listen and always willing to reach out to us as students at the university and in the community.

When the civil rights movement began to take shape he was always right there with sound leadership and sound suggestions as to how to get things done. I had just a great deal of respect and admiration for him because he was a true, I think, positive leader in that community.

(11:38:05)

MR. ARNOLD: Good. A number of people have also mentioned, and I don't have any names in front of me, but different ministers in some of the churches, both African-American and white churches in Lawrence, also played key roles, if not necessarily always highly public roles, but at

least roles in encouraging their congregations to be more involved to try and bring about social change. Do you remember any or do you just have any impressions of their efforts and how important it was?

MR. FLOYD: I am having difficulty remembering the ministers but I do know that there was some church leadership that was supporting the efforts and there were, I remember some meetings that we attended in which they were trying to organize and strategize as to what should be our next steps and so forth.

(11:39:00)

2.3

MR. ARNOLD: Sure. And sometimes it's important to think of the churches as the conscience of the community --

MR. FLOYD: Absolutely.

MR. ARNOLD: -- and their attitudes often
playing a big role in bringing about change.

Do you remember, also according to, and I think this was actually in a newspaper article that mentioned who appeared before the City Commission in May, 1967, when they held their hearing in which the proponents made the case for fair housing, but it mentioned that you had

1 actually appeared and spoken on behalf as, 2 obviously, the director of the State Civil Rights 3 Commission. Do you remember that and do you remember what kind of reception you got and how receptive the City Commission seemed to be on the 5 6 issue? 7 MR. FLOYD: I vaguely remember because there were several other communities in which, and 8 sometimes things run together. 9 10 (11:39:58) MR. ARNOLD: Right. You probably did that 11 quite often. 12 13 MR. FLOYD: But I do remember supporting the 14 ordinance and I do -- I don't think that there was a lot of vocal opposition. I don't remember, in 15 16 those settings I don't remember a lot of vocal --I mean, there could be two or three people 17 18 speaking against but the overwhelming was a 19 positive support for the ordinance. 20 (11:40:30) 21 MR. ARNOLD: Right. And were you surprised 22 at all when it passed in Lawrence or were you expecting that? Or do you even remember? 2.3 24 MR. FLOYD: It's just hard to say because there were times at the state level in '67 that we 25

just knew we had the bill passed and then all of a 1 2 sudden something happened and somebody decided to vote the other way and -- or make a parliamentary 3 move to block it, you know, so you never be too confident on something like this. 5 (11:41:03) 6 7 MR. ARNOLD: Right. I can understand. Do you have a sense of whether the passage of 8 the ordinance in Lawrence had any broader 10 influence within the state? Did it help with the effort to get the state law, continue pushing 11 forward with getting the state law passed, did it 12 13 influence other communities, that you remember, or do you have any recollection of that? 14 MR. FLOYD: Yes, I think that because Wichita 15 16 and certainly Lawrence, that helped for 17 legislators at the state level, for those two 18 communities, and I don't know of anybody else at 19 the time, but --20 MR. ARNOLD: Topeka may have passed theirs, I 21 have to go back and look, before the state one was 22 passed. I know they were working on it at the 2.3 time that Lawrence's was passed. 24 MR. FLOYD: Right, and I just don't remember.

MR. ARNOLD: Right.

25

2.3

MR. FLOYD: But certainly for legislators from the areas we could always point to that fact, that it's already a law in your community so therefore why wouldn't we want to make it for the whole state?

MR. ARNOLD: Right.

MR. FLOYD: And that was an argument that we've used, and I do think that there was an influence, a positive influence to be able to point to Lawrence and to Wichita, yes.

(11:42:34)

MR. ARNOLD: Right. Great.

Reflecting back on the roles you played in the pursuit of civil rights in Kansas, what would you say you are, what accomplishments are you most proud of?

MR. FLOYD: I think the single most has to do with the passage of the statewide fair housing. I mean, that was just such an issue for a number of years that we put a lot of emphasis and a lot of attention to, because we had seen the positive effects of the fair employment practices law, we had seen the positive effects that it had, and we just knew that if we could get the state passed it would not only provide more opportunities but it

1 also would put people, give opportunities to 2 people who never had it before and put people into 3 communities, as well as in schools, that haven't had contact before. When I came to the University of Kansas as a 5 freshman some of my teammates from Kansas or rural 6 areas had never had contact with an African-American in their lives and we went from 8 9 not knowing anything about each other, playing 10 three years, and then the fourth year, or playing three years together, and I was elected co-captain 11 of the football team. That was, that was a long 12 ways we had come. 13 14 MR. ARNOLD: Right. MR. FLOYD: And I think that the whole idea 15 16 of people having experiences with each other is so 17 important to breaking down the barriers. 18 (11:44:45)19 MR. ARNOLD: Sure. Right. Absolutely. 20 MR. FLOYD: Sorry. 21 MR. ARNOLD: That's all right. One final 22 question. As we look at all the progress that's been made but the obvious challenges we still 2.3

face, and we've seen, and I won't get into

politics here, but just in the last two or three

24

25

weeks people out in the street concerned about changes that may come forward, but if young people came to you as someone who's dedicated most of your life to pursuing social justice and civil rights what kind of advice would you give them as to how to continue making progress and hopefully keep us from slipping backwards?

2.3

MR. FLOYD: Well, I would say that we have to recognize the importance of supporting diversity and recognizing that people from different cultures, different backgrounds, their major objectives in life are pretty much the same, you know.

We have families. We want to see our families do well, and at the same time we want to see our community, our nation, move forward, and I think that the best way we can do that is recognizing the value in each of us and respecting that just because my experiences lead me to this conclusion doesn't necessarily mean that I am evil, I'm doing something to damage somebody else, but also keeping in mind that we all should have at least the same opportunity to whatever it is, and some are going to fail, many will succeed, but just recognizing that.

And, as I think I said earlier, an identical set of circumstances can mean different things to different people. It's what you've learned, what your background has been, and also how these events have shaped our history to some extent and how -- and look at ways in which we can overcome the nastiness of our democracy, and sometimes that is difficult when you are in the storm, but at the same time we've got to step back sometime and just take a look at where we are and what is it that we would like to be and whether or not we can be the vessel to be able to carry that forward.

(11:48:08)

2.3

MR. ARNOLD: Right. Very good. I have come to the end of my questions, but I wanted to give you an opportunity if there's anything we didn't cover that you think is important that you would like to add.

MR. FLOYD: I think that the questions were extensive.

MR. ARNOLD: Okay, good. Well, thank you very much for your time.

MR. FLOYD: That's all right.

MR. ARNOLD: This was very worthwhile and another great contribution to our project, so I

1	really appreciate it.
2	****
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	